Approved For Release 2008/03/11 : CIA-RDP85M00364R000600900001-9

	TTAL SLIP	WWW364RWW60
TO: Ex	<i>(</i>	
ROOM NO.	BUILDING	
REMARKS:	•	
See o	distribu	tion.
Ospier	v were	
	a carrie	1
Hanc	U Carrie	ed.
FROM:	25	
ROOM NO.	BUILDING	EXTENSION
FORM NO. 241	REPLACES FORM 36-8 WHICH MAY BE USED.	

Approved For Release 2008/03/11 : CIA-RDP85M00364R000600900001-9

ER 83-6018

15 December 1983

MEMORANDUM FOR:	Information Systems Board Members	
FROM:		25 X 1
THOM:	Executive Secretary to the Board	207(1
SUBJECT:	Minutes of 17 November 1983 Meeting of the Information Systems Board	
•		
1 The Inf	ormation Systems Board met on Thursday,	
17 November 1983		25X1
participants inc	luded Board members: Danny Childs (Compt).	051/4
	OIS), (D/ODP),	25X1
(D/OC), (D/ORD) Rutledg	(DD/OS for , Philip Eckman (DD/OD&E for	
Robert Kohler),	(DD/OSO for , Clarus Rice	25X1
(D/OCR),	(C/IMS) and (C/ASG). The	25X1
following indivi	duals representing Workstation Environment Working	0EV4
Group attended: (C/TB/ED/ODP);	C/S&TB/ASG), Chairman: (DC/SG/IMS);	25X1 25X1
(RB/PSD/OMS); an		25X1
	C/ISSG/OS), (C/PS),	25 X 1
(C/ExDir),	(O/Compt), and (PS) also	_o 25 X 1
attended.	·	
2.	opened the meeting announcing that the minutes	25X1
	d meeting of 17 October 1983 were not yet completed	
and would be sen	t to the members shortly. (Those minutes were	
distributed on 3	O November 1983.) He then turned the meeting over	0EV4
Croup who brief	Chairman of the Workstation Environment Working	25 X 1
Combining Wor	ed the Board on the Working Group's "Interim Report d and Data Processing in a Single Terminal."	25 X 1
	a and bata frocessing in a bingle leiminal.	25/1
3.	introduced the four other members of the Working	_₹ 25X1
Group in attenda	nce and explained that the Working Group needed the	,
responsive He	s in order to make the final report more further commented that the Working Group felt	
pressured to giv	e the Board some analysis of the issue and the range	•
of options quick	ly and thus focused their effort on the near term	_
1983 to 1988. B	ecause of the press of time, the group was forced to)
omit user survey	s, requirements studies, cost-benefit analysis and	
workstations were	irtually all components of the Agency interested in e represented on the group, however, so the group	
felt its "collec	tive consciousness" adequate, possibly obviating the	2
need for coordinate	ation and surveys. also explained that	25X1
		25 X 1

CONFIDENTIAL

the report had been written by six individuals and thanked in particular, for melding the work of the separate authors	
into one coherent, readable document.	25X1
4. Rather than summarizing the report and reiterating its recommendations since the Board members had read the document instead called the attention of the Board to a few points:	25 X 1
a. The long-term options and recommendations should be considered as tentative and could well change in the final report, but the short term options and recommendations should be considered final. The Working Group felt that additional effort would produce no new options, nor another	
recommendation.	25 X 1
b. The third purpose of the report to provide an input to the ODP's RFP (Request for Proposal) for a follow-on terminal to the contract is at least as important as the first two the need to inform the Board of	25 X 1
the group's initial findings and to communicate ideas to the	
other working groups (page 2, paragraph 2).	25X1
c noted that the 300 "other types" of terminals in Figure 1 (page 7) included about 220 personal	25X1
computers. He suggested that the "functional gaps" in the Agency's VDTs (page 8, paragraph 5) neither the nor creates a true desk-top environment may be one	25X1 25X1
explanation for the popularity of personal computers. Speaking of other gaps, noted the Working Group's strong conviction that training is inadequate, particularly on-line	25X1
automated assistance largely because there is no single focal point with responsibility for training.	25X1
d. In discussing the problems of a cost-benefit analysis (page 10), suggested that cost is relative and that it is virtually impossible to quantify the benefits of one automation plan over another, or even of automating in general,	25 X 1
because automation changes the way people do their work. He	
suggested that a comparison of equipment costs to the salaries of the people who use the equipment might help. He observed	,
that we routinely pay 7-10 percent of a secretary's salary for a typewriter, and that the same proportion of a professional	
employee's salary would indicate a \$2,500 to \$4,000 terminal.	25X1
e. Referring to the long-term options portion of the report, mentioned the Working Group's opinion that the terminal should ultimately be the device connecting communications, memory, processing, and Artificial Intelligence (AI) for the user. As such, certain capabilities of that terminal are essential: bit mapped display to improve the quality of graphics and images, multiple windows to permit	25X1

Approved For Release 2008/03/11 : CIA-RDP85M00364R000600900001-9

TRANSMIT	TAL SLIP	DATE 16 J	AN 1984
TO: ER			
ROOM NO.	BUILDING		
REMARKS:			
			r
Please rep	olace attac	ched page :	cor
Page 3 of	minutes of	f 17 Novem	ber 1983
meeting.			
_			
1	ER 8	3-6	018
4			• •
FROM:			
ROOM NO.	BUILDING		EXTENSION
FORM NO. 241	REPLACES FORM WHICH MAY BE	38-8 USED.	

Approved For Release 2008/03/11 : CIA-RDP85M00364R000600900001-9

Approved For Release 2008/03/11 : CIA-RDP85M00364R000600900001-9

several functions simultaneously, and end-user programming to help reduce the applications backlog in ODP.	25X
f. Al programs, with the potential for greatly increasing analytical productivity, are not designed to run on mainframes which are the basis of the Agency's ADP system. Such programs require special "LISP" machines, some of which	25X ⁻
qualify as personal computers.	25X
5. When concluded, praised the Working Group for preparing a relatively complete and thoroughly readable analysis by the deadline set. He pointed out that the report	25X ²
paralleled many of the ideas expressed at the Seminar	25X
he had attended the previous week. The remarks of	25X
that a new era in computing is emerging in which the user is becoming increasingly involved, even to the point of designing his own workstation, and that the role of the information	25X′
manager should be to support such change were particularly	
apropos. explained that ADP technicians should not dread the increased involvement of the users, recalling that operations officers once feared they would be made obsolete by new collection	25X′
technologies when, in fact, the value of HUMINT has never been more	
certain.	25 X
6. then asked the Working Group why overseas	25X
terminals had been omitted from the report.	25X
questioned the status of the	
Local Area Network (LAN) and replied that a LAN would	25X
be installed in the new building and that OC has money to study	
putting a LAN in the old building as well.	25 X
7. asked to brief the Board on the minority opinion contained in her memorandum to the Board of	25X
16 November 1983. took issue with two points in the Working Group report, and suggested that:	25X ⁻
a. Within the third option (page 15), augmenting Host-	
	25 X 1
b. The short-term recommendation (page 17) to meet new terminal requirements with personal computers should not be endorsed by the Board before more detailed technical studies of requirements, security, system integration, and the impact on large Agency ADP projects can be made.	



po as da pr of	8. Several Board members complimented the Working Group's eport for its organization, quality, and lack of jargon. Mr. Rice ointed out that the group did exactly what it was asked to do and seessment of the desirability of and options for merging word and at a processing in a single terminal and lauded the group for coducing the report within the allotted, albeit short, time frame three months. Mr. Childs asked if the recommendation to use ersonal computers would require a fundamental change in the	
Ag	gency's computer architecture. In answer, read the collowing section from the report:	25X1
	the ultimate solution involves a fundamental change in the Agency's computer architecture, away from a centralized computing and file-server system, toward a decentralized computing base retaining the centralized file maintenance function. It may be only small consolation, but this solution is not unique to CIA; it is a world-wide trend in how large computer complexes work (page 14, paragraph 2).	÷
re	agreed that full implementation of the Working Group's commendation would create restructuring of the present ADP	25 X 1
ar	chitecture.	25 X 1
de	9. stated that the Board should be aware that a cision to adopt the Working Group's recommendation to use personal	25 X 1
s i s u	mputers as a way to combine word and data processing would have a gnificant impact on Agency computing in general. In aggested that the Board should not decide such a "fundamental and aportant" issue solely on the basis of "one interim report from one	25X1
wo fu ag	rking group." He agreed with recommendation that arther detailed, technical analysis should be done. The sain thanked the Working Group for its efforts and asked the ambers to leave the meeting so that the Board could discuss the	25X1 25X1
	port in camera.	25X1
ma se	10. During the ensuing discussion, asked how ny workstations the Board was talking about, since he had never en an official Agency commitment to put a terminal on every	25 X 1
đe	sk. Mr. Childs felt that many employees have no need for a	
f u	rminal and a study should be made of the categories of CIA nctions that do require computing capabilities, but	25X1
go	ggested that one terminal for every desk probably is the "ultimate al." suggested that the operant word is "desk" uriers, drivers, and similar occupations have no need for a	25X1
t e t y	rminal, but any employee currently using a desk, telephone, and pewriter (or paper and pencil) eventually will need a terminal. commended Appendix A of the interim report to the Board.	25X1
of	marking that it contained much vital information about the effect terminals on the people who use them, which should be taken into count in any technical study.	25 X 1
th	11. suggested that, even if the Board approved e recommendation, a prototype study and phased introduction of any	25 X 1

CONFIDENTIAL

new equipment is the best prototyping is imperative ephemeral "wishes," were interim report for not in information. Mr. Rice re enough time to do a forma	if the user to be satisf cluding requ iterated tha	s' long-term ied. He fur irements and t the group	required ther cri costing had not	ticized been gi	not the
not had sufficient time t recommendations with thei would devote the next mee raised. He asked that Bo staffs, express their opi Group's recommendation in Executive Secretary of th prepare an agenda for the of concern to Board membe Friday, 16 December 1983	o discuss the r staffs and ting to a diard members, nions and jumemoranda to e Board to e next meetings. The nex	advisors and scussion of after consudgments about the follate those that address the meeting is	the Work d that t the issu lting wi t the Wo urther a memoran sses all schedul	ing Ground He Boardes just the their king sked the da and the issed for	up's d r e sues
			-		25X1 25X1
				•	
				•	
Distribution					
1 - Compt					
1 - D/ODP 1 - D/OIS	·	•			
1 - D/OS 1 - D/OCR					
1 - D/OD&E		•		e.	
1 - D/OSO 1 - D/NPIC					
1 - D/OC					
1 - D/ORD 1 - C/IMS					
$\frac{1 - C/ASG}{(1 - ER)}$			÷.,		
1 - Planning Staff					25 X 1